Before the Illinois Commerce Commission

In the Matter of

Approval of an Increase and Adjustment of Rates and Charges for Water Utility Service Sold by Charmar Water Company within Lake County

Direct Testimony of

Amanda M. Ross Senior Regulatory Accountant

for

Charmar Water Company

TO STY OF AREA OF

May 1, 2003

1	Q.	Please	state	vour	name	and	business	address.
---	----	--------	-------	------	------	-----	-----------------	----------

- 2 A. My name is Amanda M. Ross. My business address is 2335 Sanders Road,
- 3 Northbrook, Illinois 60062.

4

5 Q. What is your occupation?

6 A. I am the Senior Accountant for the Regulatory Department of Utilities, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

8

9 Q. Please summarize your professional background?

- I have been employed by Utilities, Inc. since April of 2002. Since that time I have been involved in many phases of rate-making in several regulatory jurisdictions. I graduated from Purdue University in 2000, and I am a Certified Public Accountant. I had two years of public accounting/financial analysis experience prior to joining
- 14 Utilities, Inc., and I am a member of the American Institute of Certified Public
- 15 Accountants.

16 17

Q. Please explain your job responsibilities with Utilities, Inc.?

A. My responsibilities include: financial analysis of individual subsidiaries of Utilities, Inc., preparation of applications for rate relief, facilitation of commission audits, and the submission of financial testimony and schedules to support a request for an increase in rates.

22

Background

23 24 25

Q. Please describe Charmar Water Company.

A. Charmar Water Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of Utilities, Inc. (UI). Water 26 27 Service Corporation (WSC) manages the operation for all of UI's water and wastewater systems, including Charmar. WSC provides management, 28 29 administration, engineering, accounting, billing, data processing, and regulatory 30 services for the utility systems. WSC's expenses are assigned directly to a utility or 31 distributed to the various companies pursuant to a formula that has been approved 32 by the Commission.

Bar o og:

Charmar provides water usage service to approximately 53 customers in Lake County. Charmar's current rate structure was approved on September 20, 1991.

Q. Ms. Ross, what is the purpose of your testimony here today?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain to the Commission why Charmar Water Company has requested an increase in water rates. I will also discuss some of the factors that have contributed to the need for the increase and the impact of the increase on our customers. I will present and describe schedules showing the overall cost of capital and proper rate of return on rate base, and I will also sponsor the Utility's financial exhibits.

In addition, I will provide information to support Charmar Water Company's request to change depreciation rates from composite rates to class-of-asset rates, to convert the current bimonthly billing cycle to a monthly billing cycle, and to update the Rules, Regulations, and Conditions of Service tariffs.

Rate Relief

Q. Why is Charmar Water Company requesting rate relief at this time?

A. A rate increase is necessary to allow the Utility to recover the reasonable and prudent costs of providing service and an opportunity to earn a fair and reasonable rate of return on its invested capital. Rates granted in 1991 do not reflect the 12 years of rising costs, many of which result from stringent federal environmental regulations, increased investment, and inflation. For these reasons the Utility is not able to achieve a reasonable rate of return on its investment. Rate relief is essential to ensure the continued availability of capital at a reasonable cost and to maintain a high and professional level of service. Under the present rate schedule, Charmar is not earning a fair and reasonable return on its investment. After making pro forma adjustments to the December 2002 test year for known, fixed and measurable changes Charmar Water Company has an overall return of 1.59%. The proposed rates will allow the company to earn a 9.39% overall rate of return. This information is supported in the financial statements attached hereto as **Utility Exhibit One**.

1	g.	Ms. Ross, what factors, or changes in the cost of service, can you p	oint to				
2		that have contributed to the need for the requested increase?					
9	Λ	The age of the gystem is a factor in the cost of maintenance to be done	Ac the				

The age of the system is a factor in the cost of maintenance to be done. As the system ages, the cost of maintenance increases. In addition, Charmar has spent a considerable amount of time and money to replace one of the storage tanks to ensure customer satisfaction and water delivery. Charmar Water Company is committed to maintaining clean, safe, professional facilities. Our facilities are visible throughout the communities we serve.

Rate Analysis

Q. What are the present water rates for Charmar Water Company and when did 12 they become effective?

13 A. The present usage rates became effective on September 20, 1991, and are as follows:

Base Facility Charges:

Residential:

5/8" Meter \$12.50

Gallonage Charges: \$5.10 per 1,000 gallons

It should be noted that these rates are billed bimonthly. The current average bimonthly consumption for residential customers in Charmar is approximately 10,178 gallons. This equates to a bimonthly bill of \$64.41.

Q. What are the proposed water rates for Charmar Water Company and their impact on the average customer?

A. The proposed rates are as follows:

Base Facility Charges:

Residential:

29 5/8" Meter \$15.50

30 <u>Gallonage Charges:</u> \$7.38 per 1,000 gallons

These rates will be billed monthly pending the Commission's approval of the Company's request to convert the current bimonthly billing cycle to a monthly billing cycle. Based on an average consumption of 5,089 gallons per month, the customers' monthly bill will be \$53.07 or an increase of \$20.87 per month.

Cost of Capital

Q. What is the cost of capital of Charmar Water Company?

A. The cost of capital of Charmar Water Company is determined by using UI's capital structure. These cost of capital percentages are then used to ascertain the overall cost of capital for Charmar Water Company. The capital structure and cost rates that I proposed to use in this proceeding are as follows:

	<u>Weight</u>	Cost	Weighted Cost
Debt	45.69%	8.64%	3.95%
Equity	<u>54.31%</u>	10.02%	<u>5.44%</u>
Overall	100.0%		9.39%

Q. Why must one determine the overall cost of capital for a public utility?

Commission authorizes a public utility a rate of return on its rate base equal to its overall cost of capital. If the authorized rate of return on rate base exceeds the overall cost of capital, then rate payers bear the burden of excessive prices. Conversely, if the authorized rate of return on rate base is lower than the overall cost of capital, then the Utility will be unable to raise capital at a reasonable cost. Ultimately, the Utility may be unable to raise sufficient capital to meet demands for service, thereby impairing service quality. Therefore, ratepayers interest are served best when the authorized rate of return on rate base is neither higher nor lower that the overall cost of capital.

Q. Please define "overall cost of capital"?

A. The overall cost of capital equals the sum of the costs of the components of the capital structure (debt and common equity) after each is weighted by its proportion to total capital.

9. How does Charmar raise capital?

A. Capital for Charmar is raised by Utilities, Inc. Charmar Water Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of Utilities, Inc. The source of this capital is banks and large lending institutions

.

Q. How did you determine the cost of debt to Charmar?

A. The 8.64% cost of debt is the embedded cost of debt of the Utilities, Inc., the parent company of Charmar, as of December 31, 2002. This percentage is consistent with past Illinois Commerce Commission practice.

9. How did you derive the cost of equity used in your calculation?

7 A. Today's embedded cost of debt of the consolidated group is approximately 8.64%.

The equity investor is entitled to a premium over the return to the debt holder

because of the additional risk he or she takes. The debt holder has first claim on

the assets and earnings of the Utility. These claims must be satisfied before funds

are available to the equity shareholder. Therefore, the return on equity must be

greater than 8.64%.

In addition, a risk free investment, thirty-year treasury bonds, currently yields approximately 4.94%, as of April 15, 2003. Utility bonds available to investors are a higher risk than government bonds. Also available to investors are equity securities of large companies. These are publicly traded securities paying dividends and having the advantage of liquidity. Naturally, the market would favor any of these securities over the equity in a small company with non-traded securities, such as Charmar. It would be reasonable to add a premium to the cost

In addition, in the last rate proceeding (Docket #02-0592), Staff witness Rochelle Phipps opined that 10.02% is a reasonable cost of equity for one of UI's operating subsidiaries. In view of the proceeding information, I believe that 10.02% is the minimum cost of equity and that an overall return of 9.39% is reasonable.

Depreciation

30 Q. What is the current method of calculating depreciation expense at Charmar 31 Water Company?

of 30-year treasury bonds to determine the cost of equity to Charmar.

A. Currently, Charmar Water Company multiplies all plant-in-service accounts by a composite rate of 1.50% to calculate annual depreciation expense.

Mary Mary

Q. What method of calculating depreciation expense is the Utility proposing?

A. The Utility is proposing a change from using a composite rate of 1.50% to utilizing separate depreciation rates for each primary account. These rates range from 1.89% to 7.69%. This method of calculating depreciation expense is consistent with prior rate proceedings approved by the Commission, including Docket No. 01-0663, Lake Wildwood Water Company, and Docket No. 02-0592, Del-Mar Water Company.

8 9

10

1

Q. Why is Charmar Water Company proposing this change in depreciation rates in order to calculate depreciation expense in this rate proceeding?

11 A. The Utility has proposed these modified depreciation rates based on testimony filed 12 by Staff witness King in Docket Nos. 01-0663 and 02-0592. According to this testimony, separate depreciation rates for primary accounts are more sensitive to 13 the service life and retirement in a specific account than a composite rate. As 14 15 such, rates by primary accounts result in a more accurate allocation and recovery 16 of depreciation expense. Therefore, the Utility's calculation of depreciation expense 17 was prepared using the average service lives, salvage values, and calculations utilized in ICC Staff Exhibit 6.00, Schedules 6.01 and 6.02 for Docket No. 01-0663 18 and ICC Staff Exhibit 4.00, Schedule 4.04, pages 1 and 2 for Docket No. 02-0592. 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

G. How do the depreciation rates proposed by the Utility compare to the rates approved by the Commission in prior rate proceedings?

A. The depreciation rates proposed by the Utility are consistent with the average service lives, salvage values, and calculations that were approved for Consumers Illinois Water Company, Northern Illinois Water Corporation, Illinois-American Water Company, Lake Wildwood Water Company, and Del-Mar Water Company.

26 27

28

29

Q. What is the effect on Charmar Water Company's depreciation expense using the proposed depreciation rates?

A. Depreciation expense of Charmar Water Company will increase by approximately \$1,039 based on proposed depreciation rates. In addition, the overall composite depreciation rates will raise from 1.55% to 2.99%. This increase is consistent with prior rate proceedings approved by the Commission.

Billing Cycles

1 2

- 3 Q. What is the current billing schedule for the customers of Charmar Water
 4 Company?
- 5 A. Charmar customers are currently billed at the end of January, March, May, July,
 6 September, and November.

7

- 8 Q. What billing schedule is the Utility proposing?
- 9 A. The Utility is proposing a change from a bimonthly billing cycle to a monthly billing cycle.

11 12

- Q. Why is the Utility proposing a monthly billing cycle?
- 13 A. The Utility believes that a monthly billing cycle will enable the Utility to provide
 14 better service to the customers of Charmar. First, a monthly billing cycle will
 15 permit Charmar customers to properly budget for water utility expenses each
 16 month. Second, customer service representatives will be able to appropriately
 17 address customer concerns as issues can be promptly detected. Third, the Utility
 18 will be able to locate and resolve system problems as customer billing and
 19 consumption data will be available monthly as opposed to bimonthly.

20

- Q. Is this change in billing cycles consistent with the Utility's focus on providing
 quality service to its customers?
- 23 A. Yes, it is. The Utility believes that this change is beneficial to the customers and the quality of their service.

25 26

- Q. What impact will this change in billing cycles have on operating expenses?
- A. The change to a monthly billing cycle will increase office expenses due to an increase in postage, bank charges, and office stock, such as paper and envelopes, which results from additional mailings and deposits made throughout the year. However, no additional employees are required to handle the increased number of billings.

32

33

34

1 Rules, Regulations, and Conditions of Service Tariffs

- 2
- 3 Q. Does the Utility plan to update the Rules, Regulations, and Conditions of
 4 Service tariffs for Charmar Water Company?
- 5 A. Yes, it does.

6

- Q. Please explain why the Utility is proposing to update Charmar's Rules,
 Regulations, and Conditions of Service tariffs.
- 9 A. The Utility has proposed to update its Rules, Regulations, and Conditions of 10 Service tariffs as they have not been updated in more than 12 years. Since this 11 time, Staff has compiled updated Rules, Regulations, and Conditions of Service 12 tariffs that have been provided to other Illinois regulated utilities, including Utilities, Inc., Charmar's parent company. Utilities, Inc. has filed updated Rules, 13 Regulations, and Conditions of Service tariffs for Docket No. 01-0050, Westlake 14 15 Utility, Inc. and has agreed to file these tariffs for Docket No. 02-0592, Del-Mar 16 Water Company.

17

Filing Procedures

18 19

- 20 Q. Why is Charmar Water Company filing under the Standard Rate Case
 21 Procedures instead of the Short Form Procedures that are in place for a
 22 company the size of Charmar Water Company?
- 23 A. Utilities, Inc. has determined that our short form filings are conducted in the same
 24 manner as a general rate filing. This is because Utilities, Inc. has a staff of
 25 accounting and ratemaking professionals. Additionally, under the short form
 26 process there is no formal procedure for seeking a mediation of disputed
 27 adjustments made by the Staff to the Utility's revenue requirement. This can be
 28 unfair to the Utility.

29

- 30 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 31 A. Yes it does.